MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

TX HEALTH FORT WORTH

Respondent Name

CITY OF FORT WORTH

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-18-0532-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 04

MFDR Date Received

OCTOBER 30, 2017

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: A position summary was not submitted in the request for medical fee dispute

resolution.

Amount in Dispute: \$3,931.71

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "The bill was received electronically on 3/3/2017. Dates of service 11/1, 11/2, 11/3, 11/7, 11/14, 11/16 and 11/21/2016 were denied for exceeding 95 days and were denied for timely filing. Dates of services of 11/28 and 11/30 recommended payment as the 95 day billing period had not expired."

Response Submitted by: CareWorks Managed Care Services

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
November 1, 2016 through November 30, 2016	Outpatient Services Physical and Occupational Therapy	\$3,931.71	\$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. Texas Labor Code §408.027, effective September 1, 2007, sets out the rules for timely submission of a claim by a health care provider.
- 2. Texas Labor Code §408.0272, effective September 1, 2007, provides for exceptions for timely submission of a claim by a health care provider.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §102.4(h), effective May 1, 2005, sets out rules to determine when written documentation was sent.
- 4. The services in dispute were reduced / denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
 - 29-The time limit for filing has expired.
 - 59-Processed based on multiple or concurrent procedure rules.

- P12-Workers' compensation jurisdictional fee schedule adjustment.
- W3-Additional payment made on appeal/reconsideration.
- 193-Original payment decision is being maintained upon review it was determined that this claim was processed properly.

<u>Issues</u>

Did the requestor support position that the disputed bills were submitted timely?

Findings

According to the explanation of benefits, the respondent denied reimbursement for the services in dispute based upon reason code "29-The time limit for filing has expired." The respondent contends that reimbursement is not due because "The bill was received electronically on 3/3/2017."

Texas Labor Code §408.027(a) states, "A health care provider shall submit a claim for payment to the insurance carrier not later than the 95th day after the date on which the health care services are provided to the injured employee. Failure by the health care provider to timely submit a claim for payment constitutes a forfeiture of the provider's right to reimbursement for that claim for payment."

28 Texas Administrative Code §102.4(h), states, "Unless the great weight of evidence indicates otherwise, written communications shall be deemed to have been sent on: (1) the date received, if sent by fax, personal delivery or electronic transmission or, (2) the date postmarked if sent by mail via United States Postal Service regular mail, or, if the postmark date is unavailable, the later of the signature date on the written communication or the date it was received minus five days. If the date received minus five days is a Sunday or legal holiday, the date deemed sent shall be the next previous day which is not a Sunday or legal holiday." A review of the submitted documentation does not contain any evidence such as a fax, personal delivery, electronic transmission, or certified green cards to support the bill was sent to the respondent within the 95 day deadline.

The division finds that the requestor did not sufficiently support that the disputed bills were submitted timely in accordance with Texas Labor Code §408.027(a). As a result, reimbursement is not recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has not established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed services.

Authorized Signature Signature Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer 11/28/2017 Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, **applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee* **Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.